



Choose certainty.  
Add value.

# Technical report

of the

# Type testing

of the configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI

**Applicant**

Siemens AG

Gleiwitzer Straße 555

D-90475 Nürnberg

Country

**Manufacturer**

Siemens AG

**Report no. SN84689T**

Revision: 1.0, Date 06.03.2013

**Test body**

TÜV SÜD Rail GmbH

Rail Automation

Barthstraße 16

D-80339 Munich

This technical report may be represented only in complete wording. The use for promotion needs written permission. It contains the result of a unique investigation of the product being tested and places no generally valid judgment about characteristics out of the running fabrication. Official translations of this technical report are to be authorized by the test and certification agency.



## Revision history

Revision	Date	Author	Status	Modifications
0.1	2012-11-28	P. Weiß	Initial	-
0.5	2012-12-18	P. Weiß	Ongoing	Test Report included
0.9	2013-02-21	P. Weiß	Ongoing	Chapter 4 and 5 updated
1.0	2013-03-06	P. Weiß	Final	Chapter 4 Chapter 5.4

Table 1: Revision history

## Content

<b>Revision history</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>Content</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>List of Tables</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>List of Figures</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>1 Target of Evaluation (ToE)</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>2 Scope of Testing</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>2.1 Test specimen</b> .....	<b>5</b>
2.1.1 Nomenclature of SIMATIC SLS with HMI .....	5
<b>2.2 Tests</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>3 Basis of Testing</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>3.1 Functional safety</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>4 Documents provided for testing of SIMATIC SLS with HMI</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>5 Performance and result of tests</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>5.1 Test reports</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>5.2 Project Management</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>5.3 System Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (System-FMEA)</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>5.4 Quantitative Analysis and Fault Simulations (FIT)</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>5.5 Software evaluation</b> .....	<b>9</b>
5.5.1 Realization of the software .....	9
5.5.2 Software tests.....	9
<b>5.6 Electrical Safety</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>5.7 Climatic stress tests</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>5.8 Mechanical stress tests</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>5.9 Electrical stress tests</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>5.10 Testing of the noise immunity</b> .....	<b>10</b>



5.11	Testing of the noise emission .....	10
5.12	Verification of the degree of protection.....	10
5.13	Inspection of the technical documentation.....	10
6	Application Conditions .....	10
7	Summary .....	11



## List of Tables

Table 1:	Revision history .....	2
Table 2:	Nomenclature .....	6
Table 4:	Functional safety .....	7
Table 5:	Documentation .....	7
Table 6:	Test results .....	8

## List of Figures

Figure 1:	System overview of the configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI .....	5
-----------	---	---

# 1 Target of Evaluation (ToE)

On July 2012 Siemens AG requested TÜV SÜD Rail GmbH to test and certify the configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI from Siemens AG. The Project No. related to this Technical Report was as follows: 717506369.

The ToE is a configuration environment within the SIMATIC families. The non safety related HMI shall be used to configure safety related electrical drive systems.

# 2 Scope of Testing

## 2.1 Test specimen

The safety related configuration shall be performed via the non safety related HMI and the safety related F-CPU. The configured safely limited speed values will be provided from the HMI and verified before downloading. After configuration the connected drive system shall operate with these SLS values.

The overview of the configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI is depicted in Figure 1.




Figure 1: System overview of the configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI

### 2.1.1 Nomenclature of SIMATIC SLS with HMI

The following terms are used in this report with a meaning defined as follows:

F-CPU	Safety related CPU of the certified SIMATIC S7 Distributed Safety System
F-Program	Safety related programmed user application
HMI	Human Machine Interface



SLS	Safely Limited Speed
-----	----------------------

Table 2: Nomenclature

## 2.2 Tests

The configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI were examined with regard to the following testing operations:

- I. Functional safety including
  - Analysis of the architecture (System-FMEA)
  - Analysis of the fault detection measure
  - Analysis of the error prevention measures
  - Review of documented functional tests
  
- II. Safety information in the product documentation (safety manual, operating instructions)

### 3 Basis of Testing

The regulations and guidelines which form the basis of the type testing are listed below.

#### 3.1 Functional safety

No.	Standard	Title
[N1]	IEC 62061: 2005	Safety of machinery - Functional safety of safety-related electrical, electronic and programmable electronic control systems
[N2]	EN ISO 13849-1: 2008	Safety of machinery - Safety-related parts of control systems Part 1: General principles for design

Table 3: Functional safety

### 4 Documents provided for testing of SIMATIC SLS with HMI

Following documents were provided by Siemens AG to be checked and evaluated by the test house.

No.	Title	Document-No./ File identifier	Revision	Date
[D1]	Vorgabe von Grenzwerten für Sichere Geschwindigkeit (SLS) von einem nicht-sicherem HMI	sls_over_hmi_de	-	February 2013
[D2]	Systemtestprotokoll	Systemtestprotokoll_sls_over_hmi_v10_de	-	2012-11-22
[D3]	Systemtestprotokoll	Systemtestprotokoll_sls_over_hmi_v10_de _Unterschrift	-	2013-02-08
[D4]	Systemtestprotokoll	Systemtestprotokoll_inkl_Nachtrag	-	2013-03-06

Table 4: Documentation



## 5 Performance and result of tests

### 5.1 Test reports

Following test reports were issued by TÜV SÜD Rail GmbH or other accredited test laboratories.

No.	Title	Document-No./ File identifier	Revision	Date
[R1]	Review Report	Review_SLS_HMI	1.3	21.02.2013
[R2]	Review Report System Test	Review_Systemtest	1.2	06.03.2013

Table 5: Test results

### 5.2 Project Management

The project specific activities for the configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI have been identified and documented see [D1].

#### Result:

The relevant requirements for the configuration environment have been identified and verified, see [R1].

### 5.3 System Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (System-FMEA)

The configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI consist of safety related and non safety related components. Fault detection is assured by means of following basic techniques:

- Redundant transmission of SLS value
- Verification of redundant SLS values within the safety component
- Confirmation of SLS values from the user

In case of a detected failure an error message will be announced to the user. As a result the re-configuration of SLS values will not be accepted and the drive system is still relying on the original stored values.

#### Result:

The system- and function block FMEA as depicted in the document [D4] was made by Siemens AG and reviewed by TÜV SÜD Rail GmbH. The results of this FMEA for the configuration environment meet the requirements according to [N1] and [N2]. The result is recorded in [R1].





#### **5.4 Qualitative Analysis and Fault Simulations (FIT)**

All function-related faults in components or component groups were examined to assess their effects on the safe functioning of the configuration environment.

Practical fault simulations were carried out by Siemens AG to provide subsequent evaluation of the knowledge obtained from the theoretical failure mode and effect analysis. The performances of these fault simulations provide information on the fail-safe characteristics of the configuration environment.

The documented results of these faults were compared with the required characteristics which had been stipulated in the theoretical failure mode and effect analysis for the fault detection mechanisms and the fault reaction.

##### Result

All fault models according to [N1] and [N2] were covered. The FMEA [D1] and the FIT ([D2] and [D3]) demonstrated that the safety concept of the configuration environment meets the requirements of the standards listed in clause 3 of this Technical Report.

The results of the fault insertion tests have been reviewed [R2] and are documented in the test report [D3].

#### **5.5 Software evaluation**

The safety related software of the configuration environment is related to the identified safety measures derived from the FMEA. This software is realized via the already certified library elements within the F-program.

The configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI shall be realized with “key switch” verification in the F-Program see [D1].

##### **5.5.1 Realization of the software**

The F-Program part related to the safety measures of the configuration environment has been engineered and realized for an F-CPU using the required programming environment of the safety system.

##### **5.5.2 Software tests**

Based on the above mentioned FMEA (see chapter 5.3) the software within the F-Program as documented in [D1] has been tested.

##### Result:

The performed Software tests as indicated in test [D2] and [D3] did not result in any deviations from the anticipated results.

#### **5.6 Electrical Safety**

Not applicable for configuration environment.

#### **5.7 Climatic stress tests**

Not applicable for configuration environment.



#### **5.8 Mechanical stress tests**

Not applicable for configuration environment.

#### **5.9 Electrical stress tests**

Not applicable for configuration environment.

#### **5.10 Testing of the noise immunity**

Not applicable for configuration environment.

#### **5.11 Testing of the noise emission**

Not applicable for configuration environment.

#### **5.12 Verification of the degree of protection**

Not applicable for configuration environment.

#### **5.13 Inspection of the technical documentation**

The user manual [D1] was examined to verify the completeness of the technical documentation.

##### Result:

The results are documented in report [R1].

The technical documentation fulfils the requirements in accordance with the required standards.

## **6 Application Conditions**

The configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI can be used for safety related applications if the following items are met:

- The application example documented in [D1] shall be included into the F-Program without safety related modifications
- The manual [D1] with its references has to be applied
- The final F-Program shall be evaluated, verified, and validated in accordance to the application standards

Remark: The evaluation, verification, and validation of the F-Program shall include all safety functions, although those defined and identified for the configuration environment.



## 7 Summary

The test results of clause 5 showed that the ToE, as specified in clause 2.1, fulfils the requirements of clause 3 and the related standards, if the above mentioned application conditions (see chapter 6) are met. Therefore the configuration environment SIMATIC SLS with HMI can be used for applications in accordance to IEC 62061 up to SIL 2 and in accordance to ISO 13849 up to Cat 3 PL d.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'M. Ramold'.

i.V. M. Ramold  
Reviewer

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'P. Weiß'.

i.A. P. Weiß  
Project Manager